	energy given out correctly labelled	1
	activation energy labelled correctly	1
(b)	electrostatic force of attraction between shared pair of negatively charged electrons	1
	and both positively charged nuclei	1
(c)	bonds formed = 348 +4(412) + 2(276) = 2548 kJ / mol	1
	bonds broken – bonds formed = 612 + 4(412) + (Br-Br) – 2548 = 95 kJ / mol	1
	Alternative approach without using C-H bonds For step 1 allow = 348 + 2(276) = 900 kJ / mol Then for step 2 allow 612 + (Br-Br) – 900 = 95 kJ / mol 193 (kJ / mol)	1
	accort (1)102 (k) (mol) with no working shown for 2 marks	

1

accept (+)193 (kJ / mol) with no working shown for **3** marks -193(kJ / mol) scores **2** marks allow ecf from step 1 and step 2

(d) Level 3 (5–6 marks):

A detailed and coherent explanation is given, which demonstrates a broad understanding of the key scientific ideas. The response makes logical links between the points raised and uses sufficient examples to support these links. A conclusion is reached.

Level 2 (3–4 marks):

An explanation is given which demonstrates a reasonable understanding of the key scientific ideas. A conclusion may be reached but the logic used may not be clear or linked to bond energies.

Level 1 (1–2 marks):

Simple statements are made which demonstrate a basic understanding of some of the relevant ideas. The response may fail to make logical links between the points raised.

0 marks:

No relevant content.

Indicative content

Size and strength

- chlorine atoms have fewer electron energy levels / shells
- chlorine atoms form stronger bonds
- CI-CI bond stronger then Br-Br
- C–Cl bond stronger that C–Br

Energies required

- more energy required to break bonds with chlorine
- more energy given out when making bonds with chlorine
- overall energy change depends on sizes of energy changes

Conclusions

- if C–Cl bond changes more, then less exothermic
- if C–Cl bond changes more then more exothermic
- can't tell how overall energy change will differ as do not know which changes more.

[14]

6

(b)	allow 1 mark for correct formulae sensible scales, using at least half the grid for the points	1
	all points correct ± ½ small square allow 1 mark if 8 or 9 of the points are correct	2
	best fit line	1
(c)	steeper line to left of original	1
	line finishes at same overall volume of gas collected	1
(d)	acid particles used up allow marble / reactant used up	1
	so concentration decreases allow surface area of marble decreases	1

2

so less frequent collisions / fewer collisions per second

so rate decreases / reaction slows down

(e) mass lost of 2.2 (g)

time taken of 270 s

allow values in range 265 – 270

$\frac{2.2}{270} = 0.00814814$

allow ecf for values given for mass and time

0.00815 (g / s)

or

8.15×10^{-3}

allow **1** mark for correct calculation of value to 3 sig figs accept 0.00815 or 8.15×10^{-3} with no working shown for **4** marks

(f) correct tangent

eg 0.35 / 50

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

1

0.007

allow values in range of 0.0065 – 0.0075

 7×10^{-3}

1

1

accept 7×10^{-3} with no working shown for **4** marks

[20]

e

allow steam for water vapour
allow they both become liquids
allow ethane condenses at a lower temperature
allow some of the steam hasn't reacted
allow it is a reversible reaction / equilibrium

1

1

1

1

1

[5]

(b) amount will decrease

because the equilibrium will move to the left

(c) more ethanol will be produced

because system moves to least / fewer molecules

(i)	any two f	rom:	
		ignore any conclusion drawn referring to data below 7.5 nm or above 20 nm • 100% of (type 1 and type 2) bacteria are killed with a particle size of 7.5 to 8.5 nm	
		 accept nanoparticles in the range of 7.5 to 8.5 nm are most effective at killing (type 1 and type 2) bacteria as the size increases (beyond 8.5 nm), nanoparticles are less effective at killing (type 1 and type 2) bacteria type 1 shows a linear relationship or type 2 is non-linear type 1 bacteria more susceptible than type 2 (at all sizes of nanoparticles shown on the graph) allow type 2 bacteria are harder to kill 	2
		 (ii) (yes) because you could confirm the pattern that has been observed allow would reduce the effect of anomalous points / random errors allow would give better line of best fit ignore references to reliability / precision / accuracy / 	
		or (no) because trend / <i>conclusion</i> is already clear	
	(b)	magnesium loses electron(s)	1
		oxygen gains electron(s)	1
		<u>two</u> electrons (per atom)	1
		gives full outer shells (of electrons) or eight electrons in highest energy level reference to incorrect particles or incorrect bonding or incorrect structure = max 3	1
		or	
		(electrostatic) attraction between ions or forms ionic bonds	

accept noble gas structure

M4.(a)

M5.(a) weaker bonds

allow (other substances) react with the silicon dioxide

or

fewer bonds

ignore weaker / fewer forces

or

disruption to lattice

do not accept reference to intermolecular forces / bonds

1

(b) (i) Na₂O

do not accept brackets or charges in the formula

1

1

1

1

1

1

[7]

(ii)



electrons can be shown as dots, crosses, e or any combination

2 bonding pairs accept 4 electrons within the overlap

- 2 lone pairs on each oxygen accept 4 non-bonding electrons on each oxygen
- (c) lattice / regular pattern / layers / giant structure / close-packed arrangement

(of) positive ions or (of) atoms